UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/723,376	11/26/2003	Alan D. Olin	70494.6 (M-16842-4P US) 8032	
32605 Haynes and Boo	7590 03/24/201 <sup>o</sup> one, LLP	EXAMINER		
IP Section		PASCUA, JES F		
2323 Victory A SUITE 700	venue	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Dallas, TX 752	19	3782		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/24/2010	PAPER

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/723,376	OLIN ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Jes F. Pascua	3782	

	Jes F. Pascua	3782	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence addre	ss
THE REPLY FILED 18 March 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Application (RCE) in compliance with 37 Comperiods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidav eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	it, or other evidence, whi with 37 CFR 41.31; or (	ch places the 3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or ( MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailir (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TH	g date of the final rejection.	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropriate inally set in the final Office a	extension fee action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the a	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further con (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belon (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	nsideration and/or search (see NO w); ter form for appeal by materially re	TE below); ducing or simplifying the	
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. ☐ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12			OL-324).
<ul> <li>5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> <li>6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ul>		timely filed amendment	canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected:  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		ll be entered and an exp	lanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	d sufficient reasons why the affiday	rit or other evidence is ne	ecessary and
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fails t	
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attached	
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but The short edge (seams 3 and 5) of the spout body that each Ishigaki. Applicant's claims do not preclude the entire file clearly shows a first side edge (seams 3, 5 and 8) that each is the state of the short that is sufficient to the short that it is sufficient that it is sufficient to the short that the short that the short that it is sufficient to the short that	extends out beyond the side seam rst side edge defining the non-guss xtends between the top and bottor	(8) is part of the first side seted portion. Therefore n ends of the bag, where	e edge in . Ishigaki in the entire
<ul> <li>spout located along the non-gusseted portion.</li> <li>12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).</li> </ul>	(PTO/SR/08) Paper No(s)		
13. Other:	(1 10/00/00) 1 apel 110(5).		

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No.

/Jes F. Pascua/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3782

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20100323